

#### REMARKS

Claim 1-3, 6, 7 and 11-13 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shinyagaito, (U.S. Patent No. 5,886,753). Claims 1-3, 6, 7 and 11-13 have been canceled without prejudice. Accordingly the rejection stands moot.


Claims 4-5, 8-9, and 14-17 stand allowed. Claims 4-5, 8-9, and 14-17 are pending.

The Examiner has objected to the drawing because reference character "963" has been used to designate both transmission of "x" from Device A 400 to Remote Device 390 and transmission of "x" from Remote Device 390 to Device B 954, reference character "941" is used similarly. Applicants respectfully traverse this objection, since these reference characters simply designate the same respective parameter being transmitted from one device to another and its like designation is appropriate. See page 7, line 22 of the specification, "The parameter X 941 is received from the device A 400, at 940, and subsequently transmitted to device B 500, at 950. Device B receives the parameter X 941, at 954, and transmits its parameter Y 963 to the remote control device 390, at 964. The remote

control device 390 receives the parameter Y 963, at 960, and, within the locale of device A 400, transmits the parameter Y 963 to device A 400." Accordingly, applicants respectfully request removal of this objection.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, favorable reconsideration and early passage to issue of the present application are respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted



Daniel J. Piotrowski,  
Reg 42,079  
Patent Attorney  
(914) 333-9624

**CERTIFICATE OF MAILING**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited this date with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to:

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

On

August 19, 2005

By

Edwin Chappin